

2015–2016 Legislative Ratings

Chamber Ratings Reflect Active Legislative Sessions, Breadth of Chamber's Pro-Business Agenda

The Chamber's biannual legislative ratings reflect a unique 99th General Assembly, as a Republican governor took over for the first time in twelve years. While much of the news coming out of Springfield was over the budget battle, the General Assembly did approve several measures that move Illinois towards reform for both state government and the business climate. Positive measures such as unemployment insurance reform and a constitutional amendment to create a "lockbox" for transportation revenues.

Yet, they also include frustratingly counterproductive measures - such as votes on raising the minimum wage and placing a 3% income tax surcharge on small businesses making over \$1 million - that continue to send employers mixed messages about the direction of our state. However, on a positive note, not one bill the Illinois Chamber of Commerce opposed became law during the 99th General Assembly.

Legislators that received the highest ratings this year demonstrated a commitment to employer issues but they also demonstrated a serious commitment to government reforms that are vital to turning our state and our economy around.

About the Illinois Chamber of Commerce Legislative Ratings

The ratings are based on legislators' votes on the key business legislation of the 99th General Assembly as determined by staff and the Chamber's Government Affairs Committee. The votes in the Senate and House are selected based on their impact on the business community.

None of the bills used in this General Assembly's ratings were weighted, as there were an adequate number of legislation voted on during this General Assembly.

Legislators were given a positive mark (+) on their scorecard for bills that they voted in favor with the Illinois Chamber and were given a negative mark (-) on their scorecard for bills in which they voted against the Illinois Chamber.

Legislators who voted present on a bill in which the Illinois Chamber supported were also given a negative mark (-) on their scorecard. Contrary, legislators who voted present on a bill in which the Illinois Chamber opposed, were given a positive mark (+) on their scorecard.

Legislators who were absent (A) or not voting (NV) on a bill were given a negative mark (-) on their scorecard.

Legislators who were either not a member at the time of the vote (NA) or were excused from their absence (E) during the vote were not counted towards or against their final score. Members who did not meet the required amount of votes were not given a score (NE).

In cases where more than one roll call was taken on a bill, the vote that best demonstrates support for employers' vital interests was chosen. If employers are to change the culture in Springfield, they must educate themselves on the record of their representatives and hold them accountable for it.

The 2015-2016 Champions of Free Enterprise

The Illinois Chamber of Commerce is proud to recognize legislators that have made special contributions in the defense of free enterprise, and the furtherance of economic opportunities for Illinoisans. Legislators with Illinois Chamber ratings averaging 85% or better over the previous two General Assemblies have demonstrated their commitment to legislation that frees the entrepreneurial spirit and qualify for the award. The Chamber would also like to thank several freshmen legislators who provided outstanding voting records in their first two years in office. However, the Chamber would like to recognize only one legislator from each chamber serving their first two years in office with the Outstanding Freshman Legislator award. Freshmen scoring above 85% will be eligible for the awards given in the 100th General Assembly. The Champions of Free Enterprise Awards are given biennially.

Senate Award Winners

House Award Winners

Senator Pamela Althoff	Representative Patricia Bellock	Representative David McSweeney						
Senator Tim Bivins	Representative Dan Brady	Representative Charles Meier						
Senator Mike Connelly	Representative Adam Brown	Representative Thomas Morrison						
Senator Kyle McCarter	Representative John Cavaletto	Representative Robert Pritchard						
Senator Karen McConnaughay	Representative Tom Demmer	Representative David Reis						
Senator Matt Murphy	Leader Jim Durkin	Representative Ron Sandack						
Leader Christine Radogno	Representative Mike Fortner	Representative Keith Sommer						
	Representative Norine Hammond	Representative Joe Sosnowski						
Outstanding Freshman Legislator	Representative David Harris	Representative Ed Sullivan						
Senator Dan McConchie	Representative Chad Hays	Representative Michael Tryon						
	Representative Jeanne Ives	Representative Michael Unes						
	Representative Dwight Kay	Representative Barbara Wheeler						
	Representative David Leitch							

Outstanding Freshman Legislator Representative Avery Bourne

Legislation Used for the Champions of Free Enterprise

HJRCA 26 (Madigan) – Small Business Income Tax Increase

Provides an additional 3% income tax shall be imposed on individual's income that is greater than \$1 million for the taxable year. The collected revenue from the tax is to be distributed to school districts on a per pupil basis. This proposed amendment would hurt successful small business owners and drive more wealth out of Illinois.

Chamber Position: OPPOSED House 3rd Reading: 68-47-0

Senate Vote: NA

Outcome: Failed in the House

HJRCA 36 (Phelps/Haine) - Lockbox Amendment

Transportation lockbox constitutional amendment. This is a proposed constitutional amendment that would end Road Fund diversions by preventing any money from being removed from the Road Fund for any purpose other than transportation. As written, this proposed constitutional amendment would prevent any future revenue collected by transportation assets from being spent on any other agencies or programs. As a result of this, transportation investment will be predictable, allowing businesses to plan investments long term.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT - Chamber Initiative

House 3rd Reading: <u>98-4-2</u> Senate 3rd Reading: <u>55-0-0</u>

Outcome: Adopted in Both Houses

HJRCA 58 (Franks/Steans) – Redistricting Reform

This proposed constitutional amendment would completely remove the General Assembly and the governor from the redistricting process by establishing an eight-person commission selected by the Illinois Supreme Court. Both the chief justice and the most senior justice who is not affiliated with the same political party as the chief justice would choose eight commissioners. If the commission does not submit an agreed map, then the same two justices will select a ninth commissioner. The amendment would require fifteen open hearings and all records regarding the work of the commission are available to the public.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT House 3rd Reading: <u>105-7-0</u>

Senate Vote: NA

Outcome: Senate Subcommittee on Constitutional Amendments

HB 580 would have removed the governor from contract negotiations with the public sector unions and replaced by a group of un-elected, third-party arbitrators

Chamber Position: OPPOSED House 3rd Reading: <u>67-46-2</u> Senate 3rd Reading: <u>38-17-0</u>

Outcome: Motion to Veto Lost in House 68-49-1

HB 1285 (Hoffman/Link) - Unemployment Insurance Reform

A top priority for the business community has been to clarify a definition of "misconduct" in the Unemployment Insurance Act, and while this compromise plan will inject common sense into how egregious circumstances that result in an employee's termination, it is not a touchdown in the Chamber's eyes. This compromise also eliminates an increase of \$470 million that was to occur in 2016 without any legislative action.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT House Concurrence: <u>110-0-0</u>

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>58-0-0</u> Third Reading

Outcome: P.A. 99-0488

HB 1287 (Madigan/Cullerton) – Democrats' Workers' Compensation Proposal

The amendments encompass a prior Democrats' workers' compensation proposal. It does not move the needle forward and could lead to higher workers' compensation costs for the business community.

- HA#4: regulates workers' compensation insurance premiums
- HA#5: codifies the "any cause standard" and "traveling employee" definition established by the Illinois Courts
- HA#6: for benefits in connection with repetitive or cumulative injury resulting from employment with more than one employer, the employer liable for award or its insurer is entitled to contributions or reimbursement from each of the employee's prior employers or insurers
- HA#7: requires Department of Insurance collection of self-insured data and creates a workers' compensation insurance premium task force.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE House 3rd Reading: 63-39-4

Senate Vote: NA

Outcome: Senate Assignments

HB 3341, an Illinois Chamber initiative to make the Illinois Air Permit Program fully consistent with Title V in the federal Clean Air Act which excludes internal combustion engines used for transportation purposes, nonroad engines, and nonroad vehicles from the definition of "stationary source." Illinois law has long been inconsistent with the federal law, leading to situations in which businesses were told by the state regulatory agency they needed to obtain permits that were not required under federal law. This legislation removes any confusion regarding the need to obtain an air permit. Nonroad engines would still be required to comply with applicable air emission regulations.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT - Chamber Initiative

House 3rd Reading: <u>115-0-0</u> Senate 3rd Reading: <u>59-0-0</u> Outcome: P.A 99-0380

HB 3438 (Smiddy/Koehler) – North American-Made Vehicles

HB 3438 requires all vehicles purchased by the state of Illinois must be assembled in the United States or Canada. It limits choices available to state agencies and also drives up the cost of vehicles purchased by the state. American and Illinois manufacturers and suppliers - who sometimes provide more than half of the parts for vehicles not made in the U.S. or Canada - would be harmed by this legislation as more than 100 Illinois manufacturing companies produce parts for vehicles assembled outside the U.S.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE House 3rd Reading: 70-42-0

Senate Vote: NA

Outcome: Senate Assignments

HB 3655 (Raoul/Zalewski) - Fantasy Gaming

Creates the Fantasy Sports Contest Act to provide regulation of companies providing access to paid fantasy sports contests and protect Illinois consumers who play paid fantasy sports contests for prizes from unfair acts and practices that may arise in the gaming process.

Chamber Position: OPPOSED

House Vote: NA (No vote as opposed)

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>32-22-1</u>
Outcome: Currently in the House

SB 11 (Lightford/Turner) – Minimum Wage Increase

SB 11 increases the minimum wage from \$8.25 to \$9 beginning July 1, 2015 and increases it by \$0.50 each July 1 until July 1, 2019, at which point the minimum wage will be \$11. It denies home rule units except Chicago form having a higher minimum wage than the State. Creates a \$1,500 per employee income tax credit for employers with fewer than 50 employees, calculated based on the increase in the minimum wage.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE

House Vote: NA

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>35-18-1</u>

Outcome: House Rules Committee

SB 162 (Raoul/Madigan) - Codifies "any cause" for Workers' Compensation

Senate Democrat proposal that codifies the current "any cause" standard meaning an employer is responsible for 100% medical care and loss wages even if the workplace is as little as 1% the cause of the injury. No meaningful cost savings are proposed and in fact employers could experience higher workers' compensation costs if this legislation were to become law.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE

House Vote: NA

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>36-19-1</u>

Outcome: House Rules Committee

SB 544 (Bush/Walsh) - Nuclear Storage Impact Fees

The legislation would allow a municipality to establish and collect a nuclear storage impact fee, not to exceed 25% of the average annual amount of property taxes paid to the municipality by the entity that operated a nuclear facility within the boundaries of the municipality before it ceased to generate electricity. This "fee" has little to do with the cost of storage of nuclear material. Rather, it is an attempt to recoup a portion of the property taxes that were lost when the Zion nuclear plant closed.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE

House Vote: NA

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>36-20-0</u> Outcome: House Rules Committee

SB 1672 (Rezin/Nekritz) – Air Permit Appeals Reform

This legislation will lead to a state implemented plan that will be a significant improvement over the current PSD permitting process. The bill requires the Pollution Control Board to adopt rules for a state implemented program for Prevention of Significant Deterioration Permits - which are necessary for new plant construction or major expansions of existing facilities in attainment areas. The attainment areas in Illinois are generally our downstate communities outside the Chicago and metroeast areas of the state.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT - Chamber Initiative

House 3rd Reading: <u>112-0-0</u> Senate Concurrence: <u>54-0-0</u> Outcome: P.A. 99-0463

SB 1833 (Biss/Williams) – Data Security Breaches

SB 1833 requires notification of security breaches to the Attorney General and greatly expands the data elements considered for purposes of a "breach". One of the key concerns is, for the first time in the nation, the expansion of data elements includes the terms "geolocation" and "consumer marketing information". These terms, along with the term "biometric data", are vaguely defined and overly broad raising many concerns and questions as to how they will be interpreted and implemented. This bill will result in the need for companies to implement costly enhanced security obligations on data for which the unauthorized acquisition does not create a risk of identity theft or financial harm.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE House 3rd Reading: <u>63-52-0</u> Senate Concurrence: <u>34-16-1</u>

Outcome: Bill Dead - Amendatory Veto (HB 1260)

SB 2506 (Righter/Andersson) – Streamlining Employment Discrimination Investigations

The Department of Human Rights (IDHR) will be required to close its investigation if the charge filed with IDHR is based on a litigated discrimination violation that is identical to a charge filed with a local government unit or the federal EEOC and such protection also is provided by the other governmental body.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT - Chamber Initiative

House Vote: NA

Senate 3rd Reading: 58-0-0

Outcome: House Rules Committee

SB 2531 (Lightford/Welch) – Labor Representation on Economic Development Boards

Provide that any economic development corporation or commission which receives public money must have at least two members from a labor council serve on its board or commission. Such members shall be full members with all rights and privileges and need not pay any membership fees or dues for such membership.

Chamber Position: OPPOSE House 3rd Reading: <u>66-48-0</u> Senate 3rd Reading: <u>35-18-0</u> Outcome: Passed Both Houses Amends the Uniform Penalty and Interest Act to automatically rescind underpayment penalties on taxpayers that are more than 95% compliant.

Chamber Position: SUPPORT - Chamber Initiative

House Vote: NA

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>58-0-0</u>

Outcome: Gut and Replace in House

SB 2964 (Harmon/Hoffman) - Prevailing Wage Calculation

SB 2964 requires the prevailing wage rate to be calculated as the rate that prevails for similar work on public works projects when the work is performed under a collective bargaining agreement or understanding between an employer and bona-fide labor organizations in a locality where 30% or more of the workers are involved.

Chamber Position: OPPOSED House 3rd Reading: 72-40-2 Senate 3rd Reading: 38-17-0 Outcome: Sent to the Governor

SB 3011 (Mulroe/Feigenholtz) - Tobacco Products +21

Increase in minimum wage to purchase tobacco products from 18 to 21.

Chamber Position: OPPOSED

House Vote: NA

Senate 3rd Reading: <u>32-22-2</u> Outcome: Currently in House

Name/Party	HJRCA 36	NB 200	UD 1205	UD 22/11	HB 2655	CB 11	CB 162	CB 544	CB 1672	CD 1022	CB 2506	SB 2531	SB 2021	CB 2064	CB 2011						
Vote Totals	55-0-0	38-17-0	58-0-0		32-22-1			36-20-0		34-16-1	58-0-0	35-18-0	58-0-0	38-17-0							
100 1000			0000		V :	00 10 1		00 20 0	0.00	0		00 10 0	0000	00 11 0	V				/	.b. /	2016
																			2013	lov. \ '2	.20.
Chamber Position	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Υ	N	Y	N	N	Total Bills	Total +	Total -	/ 2017	2015	Average
Althoff [R]	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	15	14	1	83%	93%	88%
Anderson [R]	+		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	14	12	3	NA	80%	80%
Barickman [R]	+	+	+	+	•	+	+	+	NV (-)	NV (-)	+	+	+	+	+	15	12	3	78%	80%	79%
Bennett [D]	+	-	+	+			-	-	+	•	+	-	+	-	P (+)	15	7	8	NA	47%	47%
Bertino-Tarrant [D]	+	-	+	+	-	•	•	-	+	•	+	NV (-)	+	- NV (-)	-	15 15	6	9	50%	40%	45% 45%
Biss [D] Bivins [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	+	15	6 15	9	50% 72%	40% 100%	86%
Brady [R]	+	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	+	+	NV (-)	NV (-)	+	+	+	+	+	15	12	3	73%	80%	77%
Bush [D]	+		+	+		-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	15	6	9	33%	40%	37%
Clayborne [D]	+	-	+	+	-		-	-	+		NV (-)	NV (-)	NV (-)	NV (-)	NV (-)	15	4	11	53%	27%	40%
Collins, J [D]	NV (-)	-	+	+	+			-	+		+		+	-	-	15	6	9	47%	40%	44%
Connelly [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	+	+	+	+	+	15	14	1	83%	93%	88%
Cullerton, T [D]	+	-	+	+		-	-	-	+		+	NV (-)	+	-	-	15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Cunningham [D]	+	-	+	+		-	-	-	+		+		+	-	-	15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Delgado [D]	+	NV (-)	+	+	NV (-)	NV (-)	•	-	+	•	+	-	+	-	-	15	6	9	35%	40%	38%
Duffy [R] Forby [D]	NA +	+	+	+	NA NV (-)	+ P (+)	+	+	+	+	NA +	NA -	NA +	NA -	NA +	8 15	8 10	0 5	71% 53%	100% 67%	86% 60%
Haine [D]	+	-	+	+	-	- (+)	P (+)		+		+		+		+	15	8	7	53%	53%	53%
Harmon [D]	+		+	+			- (.,	-	+		+		+		-	15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Harris [D]	NV (-)	NV (-)	+	+		-	-	-	+	NV (-)	+		+	-	+	15	6	9	62%	40%	51%
Hastings [D]	+	-	+	+	-	-	+		+	-	+	NV (-)	+	-	-	15	7	8	53%	47%	50%
Holmes [D]	+	-	+	+	-	-		-	+		+		+	-	+	15	7	8	44%	47%	46%
Hunter [D]	+	NV (-)	+	+	-	-	•	•	+		+		+	-	-	15	6	9	56%	40%	48%
Hutchinson [D]	+		+	+	NV (-)	-		-	+		+		+	NV (-)	-	15	6	9	44%	40%	42%
Jones, E [D]	NV (-)	•	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	•	+	•	+	•	-	15	6	9	47%	40%	44%
Koehler [D] Kotowski [D]	+ NA	NA	NV (-)	+	- NA	-	-	-	+	-	+ NA	- NA	+ NA	- NA	NA	15 6	5	10 4	53% 56%	33% NE	43% NE
LaHood [R]	NA NA	NA NA	NA	+	NA NA	+	NV (-)	+	+	+	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	6	5	1	78%	NE	NE
Landek [D]	NV (-)	-	+	+	+		NV (-)	-	+	+	+		+	NV (-)	-	15	7	8	59%	47%	53%
Lightford [D]	+		+	+		-	-	-	+		+		+	- '	-	15	6	9	47%	40%	44%
Link [D]	+		+	+			•	-	+	•	+		+	-	-	15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Luechtefeld [R]	+	NV (-)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	15	14	1	72%	93%	83%
Manar [D]	+		+	+		-	-	-	+		+		+	-	-	15	6	9	53%	40%	47%
Martinez [D]	+		+	+	+	-	-	-	+	•	+		+	-	-	15	7	8	50%	47%	49%
McCann [R]	+	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•	+	15	13	2	65%	87%	76%
McCarter [R] McConchie [R]	+	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+	+	+	+	+	15 7	15 7	0	76% NA	100%	88% 100%
McConnaughay [R]	+	+	+	t t	+	INA	INA +	†	+	HA +	+	+	+	+	+	15	14	1	88%	93%	91%
McGuire [D]	+		+	+					+		+		+	-		15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Morrison [D]	+		+	+	+				+		+		+			15	7	8	64%	47%	56%
Mulroe [D]	+	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	P (+)	+		+	-	-	15	8	7	56%	53%	55%
Munoz [D]	+		+	+		NV (-)			+		+		+			15	6	9	65%	40%	53%
Murphy, L [D]	+	-	+	NA	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	+		+	-	-	9	5	4	NA	56%	56%
Murphy, M [R]	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	15	14	1	81%	93%	87%
Noland [D]	+		+	+	P (+)	NV (-)	-	-	+	•	+	•	+	-	P (+)	15	8	7	50%	53%	52%
Nybo [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ NV ()	+	+	+	+	+	15	15	0	NA 020/	100%	100%
Oberweis [R] Radogno [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	+	NV (-) +	NV (-) +	+	NV (-) +	+	+	-	15 15	9	6	83% 83%	60% 93%	72% 88%
Raoul [D]	+	-	+	+		-	-	-	+		+	-	+	-		15	6	9	56%	40%	48%
Rezin [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	+		-	15	12	3	72%	80%	76%
Righter [R]	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	15	14	1	67%	93%	80%
Rose [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	NV (-)	+	+	+	+	NV (-)	15	12	3	76%	80%	78%
Sandoval [D]	+	-	+	+	+	-		-	+	NV (-)	+		+	-	+	15	8	7	59%	53%	56%
Silverstein [D]	+		+	+	NV (-)	-	٠	NV (-)	+		+		+	-	NV (-)	15	6	9	53%	40%	47%
Stadelman [D]	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	NV (-)	+		+	-	+	-	-	15	6	9	61%	40%	51%
Steans [D]	+	-	+	+	+	-	•	-	+	•	+	-	+	-	-	15	7	8	56%	47%	52%
Sullivan [D] Syverson [R]	+	+	+	+	-	- NV (-)	+	- NV (-)	+ NV (-)	- NV (-)	+	+	+	+	+	15 15	7 10	8 5	59% 72%	47% 67%	53% 70%
Trotter [D]	+		+	+		- (*)	+	- NV (-)	+	NV (-)	+		+		-	15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Van Pelt [D]	+	-	+	+	+	-	÷	-	+		+		+	-	-	15	7	8	77%	47%	62%
Weaver [R]	+	+	+	NA	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	+	+	+	+	9	9	0	NA	100%	100%
President Cullerton [D]	+	-	+	+		-		-	+		+		+			15	6	9	50%	40%	45%
Key																					
Voted with Chamber	+																				
Voted against Chamber	- D()																				
Voted Present on a Y Chamber Bill	P (-)																				
Voted Present on a M Chamber Dill	r (+)																				
Voted Present on a N Chamber Bill Not Voting	NV (-)																				
Not Voting	NV (-)																				
1	NV (-) NA E																				
Not Voting Not a member of the Senate at time of vote	NA																				

Name/Party Vote Totals	HJRCA 26 68-47-0	HJRCA 36 98-4-2	HJRCA 58 105-7-0	HB 580	HB 1285	HB 1287	HB 3341	HB 3438	SB 1672 112-0-0	SB 1833	SB 2531	SB 2964 72-40-2						
			105-7-0				115-0-0									2013.7	2015-201	6 Average
Chamber Position Acevedo [D] Ammons [D]	- -	Y E +	+ -	- -	+ +	- A (-)	Y +	N +	+ +	N -	- -	- -	Total Bills 11 12	Total + 5 4	Total - 6 8	が 58% NA	45% 33%	52% 33%
Andersson [R] Andrade [D]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 12	12 5	7	NA NA	100% 42%	100% 42%
Anthony [R] Arroyo [D] Batinick [R]	NV (-) - +	A (-)	NV (-)	-	+ +	P (+) A (-)	NV (-)	NV (-) NV (-)	+ + +	-+	-	-	11 12 12	8 2 12	10	NA 56% NA	73% 17% 100%	73% 37% 100%
Beliock [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 12 12	6 12 12	6 0 0	60% 85% NA	50% 100% 100%	55% 93% 100%
Bennett [R] Bourne [R] Bradley [D]	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ + +	+	+ + +	+	+	+	12 12 12	12 12 5	0 7	NA NA 56%	100% 100% 42%	100% 100% 49%
Breen [R]	+ + NA	+ + NA	+ + NA	+ + NA	+ NA	+ + NA	+ + NA	+ NA	+ + NA	+ + NA	+ + NA	+ + NA	11 12 NA	10 12 NA	1 0 NA	80% NA 75%	91% 100% NE	86% 100% NE
Brauer [R] Brown [R] Bryant [R]	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 12	12 10	0	76% NA	100% 83%	88% 83%
Burke,D [D] Burke,K [D] Butler [R]	-	+	+	- +	+ + +	- +	+ + +	-	+		- +	- +	12 12 12	5 5 12	7 7 0	59% 50% NA	42% 42% 100%	51% 46% 100%
Cabello [R] Cassidy [D]	+	+ + NV (-)	+	P (+)	+	P (+)	+	-	+ + +	+	NV (-)	-	12 12	9	3 8	70% 53%	75% 33%	73% 43%
Cavaletto [R] Chapa LaVia [D] Cloonen [D]	-	+ E P (-)	+	-	+ +	-	+ +	-	+ + + +	-	-	+ - P (+)	11 11 12	11 4 5	7 7	80% 50% 39%	100% 36% 42%	90% 43% 41%
Conroy [D] Costello [D]	-	+	+	-	+ +	-	+	-	+		-	-	12 12	5 5	7	50% 45%	42% 42%	46% 44%
Crespo [D] Currie [D] D'Amico [D]	-	-	+	-	+ +	- NV (-)	+ + +	+	+ + +	-	-	-	12 12 12	6 5 5	6 7 7	60% 50% 55%	50% 42% 42%	55% 46% 49%
Davidsmeyer [R] Davis, Monique [D]	NV (-)	P (-)	+ E	+	+ A (-)	+ A (-)	+	+	+ E	+ E	+	P (+) E	12 7	10	2 6	71% 50%	83% 14%	77% 32%
Davis, Will [D] DeLuca [D] Demmer [R]	-	NV (-) +	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	12 12 12	3 6 12	9 6 0	53% 60% 90%	33% 50% 100%	43% 55% 95%
Drury [R] Dunkin [D]	+ E	+ E	+	+	+	P (+)	+	-	E +	+	NV (-)	+	11 10	7 8	4	50% 44%	64% 80%	57% 62%
Durkin [R] Evans [D] Feigenholtz [D]	-	+ + E	-	-	+ + +	-	+ + +	-	+ E +	-	-	-	12 11	12 3 4	0 8 7	86% 50% 50%	100% 27% 36%	93% 39% 43%
Fine [D] Flowers [D]	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	E -	+	-	11 12	5	6 7	50% 50%	45% 42%	48% 46%
Ford [D] Fortner [R] Franks [D]	+	÷	+	- + NV (-)	NV (-) + +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+ -	11 12 12	4 12 7	7 0 5	39% 85% 50%	36% 100% 58%	38% 93% 54%
Frese [R] Gabel [D]	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 12	12 5	0 7	NA 45%	100% 42%	100% 44%
Golar [D] Gordon, J. [D] Guzzardi [D]	NA -	NA + +	NA + +	NA -	NA + +	-	+ + +	-	+ + +	-	NA -	NA -	5 12 12	2 5 5	7 7	50% 37% NA	NE 42% 42%	NE 40% 42%
Hammond [R] Harper [D]	+	+	+	+	+	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+	+	12 7	12 3	0 4	80% NA	100% 43%	90% 43%
Harris,D [R] Harris,G [D] Hays [R]	-	NV (-) + +	+	-	+ +	-	+	-	+	- +	-	-	12 12 12	10 5 12	7 0	85% 50% 85%	83% 42% 100%	84% 46% 93%
Hernandez [D] Hoffman [D]	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	12 12	6 5	6 7	59% 58%	50% 42%	55% 50%
Hurley [D] Ives [R] Jackson [D]	+	+	+ E +	+	+	- E	+ + +	+	+ + +	+	+	+	12 10 12	5 10 5	7 0 7	50% 80% 50%	42% 100% 42%	46% 90% 46%
Jesiel [R] Jimenez [R]	+	E +	+	+	+	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+ NA	+	+	11 7	11 7	0	NA NA	100% 100%	100% 100%
Jones [D] Kay [R] Kifowit [D]	+	+	+ +	+	A (-) +	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	11 12 12	3 12 5	8 0 7	69% 85% 45%	27% 100% 42%	48% 93% 44%
Lang [D] Leitch [R]	-	+	-	- +	+	P (+)	+	-	+	+	-+	-+	12 12	5 12	7	58% 90%	42% 100%	50% 95%
Lilly [D] Manley [D] Martwick [D]	-	NV (-) + +	+	- -	+	-	+ E +	- E -	+ +	-	-	-	10 10 12	3 4 5	7 6 7	45% 50% 50%	30% 40% 42%	38% 45% 46%
Mautino [D] Mayfield [D]	NA -	NA +	NA NV (-)	NA -	+	-	+	-	+	-	NA -	NA -	6 12	3 5	7	65% 50%	50% 42%	58% 46%
McAsey [D] McAuliffe [R] McDermed [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	12 12 12	5 10 12	7 2 0	55% 63% NA	42% 83% 100%	49% 73% 100%
McSweeney [R] Meier [R]	+	+	+	+ E	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 11	11 11	1 0	75% 80%	92% 100%	84% 90%
Mitchell, Bill [R] Mitchell, Christian [D] Moeller [D]	-	+	-	-	+ +	-	+	-	+ + +	-	-	NV (-) -	12 12 12	10 4 6	8 6	75% 50% 67%	83% 33% 50%	79% 42% 59%
Moffitt [R] Morrison [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+ E	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 11	11 11	0	75% 75%	92% 100%	84% 88%
Moylan [D] Mussman [D] Nekritz [D]	-	+	+	-	+	-	+ +	-	+	-	-	-	12 12 12	5 5 5	7 7 7	45% 55% 58%	42% 42% 42%	44% 49% 50%
Phelps [D] Phillips [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+ E	-+	+	+	12	5 11	7	60% NA	42% 100%	51% 100%
Poe [R] Pritchard [R] Reaves-Harris [D]	NA +	NA +	NA + +	P (+)	+ +	+ -	+	+	+ + +	+	NA +	NA +	4 12 12	4 12 4	0 0 8	84% 80% NA	NE 100% 33%	90% 33%
Reis [R] Riley [D]	-	+ E	-	-	E +	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	11 11	11 3 5	0 8 7	80% 45% 50%	100% 27%	90% 36% 46%
Rita [D] Rosenthal [R] Sandack [R]	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	NA +	12 NA 12	NA 12	NA 0	80% 85%	42% NE 100%	NE 93%
Scherer [D] Sente [D]	-	E +	+	-	+	-	+ +	NV (-) -	+		+	-	11 12 12	4 6 5	7 6 7	40% 70% 44%	36% 50% 42%	38% 60% 43%
Sims [D] Skoog [D] Smiddy [D]	-	+	+	-	+ + +	NA -	+ + +	NA -	+ NA +	NA -	-	-	8 12	4 5	7	NA 45%	50% 42%	50% 44%
Sommer [R] Sosnowski [R]	+	+ + E	+ + + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E E	+	11 11 11	10 11 4	0 7	85% 76% 50%	91% 100% 36%	88% 88% 43%
Soto [D] Stewart [R] Sullivan [R]	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ E	+ + +	+	+ + +	+	+	+	12 11	12 11	0	NA 89%	100% 100%	100% 95%
Tabares [D] Thapedi [D] Tryon [R]	-	+	+ NV (-) +	-	+ + E	- - E	+ + + +	- NV (-) +	+ + + +	- NV (-) +	- +	- +	12 12 10	5 4 10	7 8 0	60% 58% 79%	42% 33% 100%	51% 46% 90%
Turner [D] Unes [R]	-	+	+	- +	+	- +	+	- NV (-)	+	- +	- +	- +	12 12	4 11	8	53% 80%	33% 92%	43% 86%
Verschoore [D] Wallace [D] Walsh [D]	-	+	+ + +	-	+	-	+ + +	-	+ + + +		-	-	12 12 12	5 5 5	7 7 7	60% NA 55%	42% 42% 42%	51% 42% 49%
Wehrli [R] Welch [D]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E	11 12	11 5	0 7	NA 60%	100% 42%	100% 51%
Wheeler, B [R] Wheeler, K [R] Williams [D]	+	+	+	+	+ + +	+ A (-)	+ + +	+	+ + + +	+	+	+	11 12 12	11 12 5	0 0 7	85% NA 50%	100% 100% 42%	93% 100% 46%
Willis [D] Winger [R]	-	+	+	-	+	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	12 12	5 12	7	60% NA	42% 100%	51% 100%
Yingling [D] Zalewski [D] Speaker Madigan [D]	-	+	+ + +	-	+ + +	-	+ + NV (-)	-	+ + NV (-)		-	-	12 12 12	5 5 3	7 7 9	45% 56% 53%	42% 42% 25%	44% 49% 39%
Key							.17 (-)		.40 (-)				12	J		53%	23%	33%
Voted with Chamber Voted against Chamber Voted Present on a Y Chamber Bill	+ - P (-)																	
Voted Present on a N Chamber Bill Not Voting	P (+) NV (-)																	
Not a member of the House at time of vote Excused Absence Absent	NA E A (-)																	
Not enough votes to calculate	NE																	